arXiv:1506.06085v2 [math.FA] 5 Aug 2015

Some new lacunary f-statistical A-convergent sequence spaces of order α

Ekrem Savaş
Istanbul Commerce University, 34840 Istanbul, Turkey
E-mail : ekremsavas@yahoo.com

Stuti Borgohain *[1]
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay
Powai:400076, Mumbai, Maharashtra; INDIA.

E-mail : stutiborgohain@yahoo.com

Abstract: We study the concept of density for sets of natural numbers in some lacunary A-convergent sequence spaces. Also we are trying to investigate some relation between the ordinary convergence and module statistical convergence for evey unbounded modulus function. Morever we also study some results on the newly defined lacunary f-statistically A-convergent sequence spaces with respect to some Musielak-Orlicz function.

1 Introduction

In order to extend the notion of convergence of sequences, Fast [16] and Schoenberg [19] independently introduced the concept of statistical convergence. Later on it was studied from sequence space point of view and also linked with summability theory by many mathematicians including Šal$\grave{a}$t [29], Fridy [20], Tripathy and Borgohain [3] and many more. Kolk [4] began to study the applications of statistical convergence to Banach spaces. Connor et. al [24] proved some important results that relate the statistical convergence to classical properties of Banach spacs.

---

[1] The work of the authors was carried under the Post Doctoral Fellow under National Board of Higher Mathematics, DAE, project No. NBHM/PDF.50/2011/64

The notion depends on the idea of asymtotic density of subsets of the set $\mathbb{N}$ of natural numbers. A subset A of $\mathbb{N}$ is said to have natural density $\delta(A)$ if

$$\delta(A) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \chi_A(k).$$

where χ_A is the characteristic function of A.

A sequence $(x_n)_n$ is said to be statistically convergent to L, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$,we have $\delta\{(k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon)\} = 0$. Analogously, $(x_n)_n$ is said to be statistically Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an integer $m \geq n$ such that $d(\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - x_m|| < \varepsilon\}) = 1$.

Fridy [20] proved that in a Banach space, a sequence is statistically convergent if and only if it is statistically Cauchy. Fast [16] proved that $\operatorname{stlim}_n x_n = x$ if and only if there exists $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $d(A) = 1$ and $\lim_{n\in A} x_n = x$.

The notion of a modulus function was introduced by Nakano [17]. Ruckle [31] and Maddox [18] have introduced and discussed some properties of sequence spaces defined by using a modulus function. By the definition of modulus function, we mean a function $f : R^+ \to R^+$ which satisfies:

1. $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
2. $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ for every $x, y \in R^+$.
3. f is increasing.
4. f is continuous from the right at 0.

A lacunary sequence is defined as an increasing integer sequence $\theta = (k_r)$ such that $k_0 = 0$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$. Throughout this paper, the intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $J_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and the ratio $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ will be defined by ϕ_r.

In this paper, we study on a new lacunary f-statistical A-convergent sequence space of order α with respect to the Musielak-Orlicz fuction. We also investigate some results on a new concept of nonmatrix convergence which is intermediate between the ordinary convergence and the statistical convergence.

2 Definitions and basic results

By the definition of f-density of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we mean $d_f(A) = \lim_n \frac{f(|A(n)|)}{f(n)}$, (in case this limit exists)where f is an unbounded modulus function.

Let X be a normed space and let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence in X. If if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $d_f(\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_i - x\| > \varepsilon\}) = 0$, then it is said that the f-statistical limit of $(x_n)_n$ is $x \in X$, and we write it as f-stlim$x_n = x$.

It is clear that $d(A) = 1 - d(\mathbb{N} \backslash A)$.

Let us assume that $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $d_f(A) = 0$. For every $n \in N$ we have $f(n) \leq f(|A(n)|) + f(|(\mathbb{N} \backslash A)(n)|)$ and so

$$1 \leq \frac{f(|A(n)|)}{f(n)} + \frac{f(|(\mathbb{N} \backslash A)(n)|)}{f(n)} \leq \frac{f(|A(n)|)}{f(n)} + 1$$

By taking limits we deduce that $d_f(\mathbb{N} \backslash A) = 1$.

Corollary 2.1. Let f, g be unbounded moduli, X a normed space, $(x_n)_n$ a sequence in X and $x, y \in X$. We have

1. The f-statistical convergence implies the statistical convergence (to the same limit).
2. The f-statistical limit is unique whenever it exists.
3. Moreover, two different methods of statistical convergence are always compatible, which means that if f-stlim $x_n = x$ and g-stlim $x_n = y$ then $x = y$.

By an Orlicz function , we mean a function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0$, for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

The idea of Orlicz function is used to construct the sequence space, (see Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [21]),

$$\ell_M = \left\{(x_k) \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\right\}.$$

This space ℓ_M with the norm,

$$\|x\| = \inf\left\{\rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1\right\}$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequnce space.

Musielak [25] defined the concept of Musielak-Orlicz function as $\mathscr{M} = (M_k)$. A sequence $\mathscr{N} = (N_k)$ defined by

$$N_k(v) = \sup\{|v|u - M_k(u) : u \geq 0\}, k = 1, 2, ..$$

is called the complementary function of a Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathscr{M}$. The Musielak-Orlicz sequence space $t_{\mathscr{M}}$ and its subspace $h_{\mathscr{M}}$ are defined as follows:

$$t_{\mathscr{M}} = \{x \in w : I_{\mathscr{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for some } c > 0\},$$
$$h_{\mathscr{M}} = \{x \in w : I_{\mathscr{M}}(cx) < \infty, \forall c > 0\},$$

where $I_{\mathscr{M}}$ is a convex modular defined by,

$$I_{\mathscr{M}}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(x_k), x = (x_k) \in t_{\mathscr{M}}.$$

It is considered $t_{\mathscr{M}}$ equipped with the Luxemberg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf\left\{k > 0 : I_{\mathscr{M}}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \leq 1\right\}$$

or equiped with the Orlicz norm

$$\|x\|^0 = \inf\left\{\frac{1}{k}(1 + I_{\mathscr{M}}(kx)) : k > 0\right\}.$$

A Musielak-Orlicz function (M_k) is said to satisfy Δ_2-condition if there exist constants $a, K > 0$ and a sequence $c = (c_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_+^1$ (the positive cone of ℓ^1) such that the inequality

$$M_k(2u) \leq KM_k(u) + c_k$$

holds for all $k \in N$ and $u \in R_+$, whenever $M_k(u) \leq a$.

For any lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r)$, the space N_θ defined as, (Freedman et al.[1])

$$N_\theta = \left\{ (x_k) : \lim_{r\to\infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in J_r} |x_k - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\}.$$

The space N_θ is a BK space with the norm,

$$||(x_k)||_\theta = \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in J_r} |x_k|.$$

A sequence (x_i) is said to be lacunary f-statistically A-convergent to L if,

$$d_f\left(\left\{k \in N : \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x) - L|}{\rho^{(k)}}\right) > \varepsilon, \text{ for some } L \text{ and } \rho^{(k)} > 0\right\}\right) = 0,$$

where $I_r = (i_{i-1}, i_r]$, $A = (A_i(x))$ such that $A_i x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik}x_k$ converges for each i , h_r^α denotes the α-th power (h_r^α) of h_r, that is, $h^\alpha = (h_r^\alpha) = (h_1^\alpha, h_2^\alpha, ...h_r^\alpha, ...)$ and $\mathscr{M} = (M_k)$ is a Musielak-Orlicz function and it is written as $f_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M})$-statistically convergentto L with respect to the Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathscr{M}$.

Some particular cases :

If we take $\alpha = 1$, then the lacunary f-statistically A-convergence of order α reduces to the lacunary f-statistically A-convergent to L ($f_\theta(A, \mathscr{M})$-statistically convergent to L) , i.e.

$$d_f\left(\left\{k \in N : \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x) - L|}{\rho^{(k)}}\right) > \varepsilon, \text{ for some } L \text{ and } \rho^{(k)} > 0\right\}\right) = 0.$$

If $\theta = (2^r)$ and $\alpha = 1$, then the sequence (x_i) is said to be $f(A, \mathscr{M})$-statistically convergent to L if ,

$$d_f\left(\left\{k \in N : \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x) - L|}{\rho^{(k)}}\right) > \varepsilon, \text{ for some } L \text{ and } \rho^{(k)} > 0\right\}\right) = 0.$$

If $M_i(x) = x$, $\theta = (2^r)$ and $\alpha = 1$, then we can say the sequence (x_i) is f-statistically A-convergent to L , if

$$d_f\left(\left\{k \in N : \sum_{i\in I_r} |A_i(x) - L| > \varepsilon, \text{ for some } L\right\}\right) = 0.$$

Let us define a space of lacunary A-convergent sequences of order α defined by Musielak-Orlicz function as,

$$w_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M}) = \left\{(x_i) : \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x) - L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) = 0, \text{ for some } L \text{ and } \rho^{(i)} > 0\right\}.$$

In particular, if we have $M_i(x) = x$, $\theta = (2^r)$ and $\alpha = 1$, then $w_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M})$ reduces to,

$$w(A) = \left\{(x_i) : \sum_{i\in I_r} |A_i(x) - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L\right\}.$$

3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence in a normed space $w_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M})$ and f an unbounded modulus. Then f-stlim $x_i = L$ if and only if there exists $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_f(X) = 0$ and $\lim_{i\in\mathbb{N}\setminus X} x_i = L$.

Proof: Let $B_j = \left\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x) - L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) > \frac{1}{j}\right\}$, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $B_j \subset B_{j+1}$ and $d_f(B_j) = 0$, it is required to prove the case where some of the B_j's are non-empty, in particular we can assume that $B_1 \neq \phi$.

Choose any $r_1 \in B_1$. Now, by taking $r_2 \in B_2$ with $r_2 > r_1$ and $\frac{f(|B_2(i)|)}{f(i)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, if $i \geq r_2$.

Inductively we obtain $r_1 < r_2 < r_3$... such that $r_j \in B_j$ and $\frac{f(|B_j(i)|)}{f(i)} \leq \frac{1}{j}$ whenever $i \geq r_j$.

Now consider $X = \cup_{j\in\mathbb{N}}([r_j, r_{j+1}) \cap B_j)$. Then for every $i \geq r_1$ there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_j \leq i \leq r_{j+1}$ and if $n \in X(i)$ then $n < r_{j+1}$, which implies $n \in B_j$. Therefore $X(i) \subseteq B_j(i)$ and thus,

$$\frac{f(|X(i)|)}{f(i)} \leq \frac{f(|B_j(i)|)}{f(i)} \leq \frac{1}{j}$$

which follows $d_f(X) = 0$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{j} < \varepsilon$, we have $i \in \mathbb{N} \backslash X$ and $i \geq r_j$ for which there exists $k \geq j$ with $r_k \leq i \leq r_{k+1}$ and this implies $i \notin B_k$, so,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x) - L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{1}{j} < \varepsilon.$$

We conclude $\lim\limits_{i\in\mathbb{N}\backslash X} x_i = L$.

Conversely, let us assume that $X \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $\lim\limits_{i\in\mathbb{N}\backslash X} x_i = L$ and $d_f(X) = 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $i > i_0$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}\backslash X$ then

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x) - L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) \leq \varepsilon.$$

This implies,

$$\left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x) - L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) > \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq X \cup \{1, ..i\}$$

and then $d_f\left(\left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \lim\limits_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum\limits_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x) - L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) > \varepsilon \right\}\right) = 0.$

Definition 3.2. The sequence $(x_n)_n$ is f-statistically Cauchy if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_f(\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_i - x_N\| > \varepsilon\}) = 0$.

It is well known that if a sequence is f-statistically convergent then it is f-statistically Cauchy. The converge is true if the space is complete and this result is a particular case of filter convergence.

Thoerem 3.3. For the Banach space $w_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M})$ where f be an unbounded modulus and $(x_n)_n$ is an f-statistically Cauchy sequence. Then $(x_n)_n$ is f-statistically convergent.

Proof: For every $k \in N$, let x_{N_k} be such that

$$d_f\left(\left\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x) - A_{N_k}(x)|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) > \frac{1}{k}\right\}\right) = 0.$$

Consider the sets $I_k = \cap_{j\leq k} B(x_{N_j}, \frac{1}{j})$ and $J_k = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : x_i \notin I_k\}$.

Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\mathrm{diam}(I_k) \leq \frac{2}{k}$ and

$$J_k = \cup_{j\leq k}\left\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x) - A_{N_j}(x)|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) > \frac{1}{j}\right\},$$

which implies $d_f(J_k) = 0$.

Consequently each $I_k \neq \phi$.

Sincet $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq ...$ and $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq ...$ so as in the proof of the previous theorem, we can find a sequence of natural numbers $r_1 < r_2 < ....$ such that $r_k \in J_k$ and if $i \geq r_k$ then $\frac{f(|J_k(i)|)}{f(i)} \leq \frac{1}{k}$.

Considering $X = \cup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}([r_k, r_{k+1}) \cap J_k)$, we get $d_f(X) = 0$.

Since $w_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M})$ is complete, then $\cap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} I_k$ has exactly one element, say x.

We have to prove that $\lim\limits_{i\in\mathbb{N}\setminus X} x_i = L$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\frac{2}{j} < \varepsilon$. If $i \geq r_j$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}\setminus X$ then there exists $k \geq j$ such that $r_k \leq i < r_{k+1}$ and then $i \notin J_k$, which implies $x_i \in I_k$ and thus,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x) - A_{N_k}(x)|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) \leq \frac{2}{k} \leq \frac{2}{j} < \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.4. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence in $w_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M})$. If for every unbounded modulus f there exists f-stlim x_i then all these limits are the same $x \in w_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M})$ and $(x_n)_n$ also converges to L in the norm topology.

Proof : It is proved that for f, g two unbounded moduli, X a normed space, $(x_n)_n$ a sequence in X and $L, M \in X$, the f-statistical limit is unique whenever it exists.

If it is false that $\lim x_i = L$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$X = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{i \in I_r} M_i \left(\frac{|A_i(x) - L|}{\rho^{(i)}} \right) > \varepsilon \right\}$$

is infinite.

Now, by choosing an unbounded modulus f which will satisfy $d_f(X) = 1$, then this clearly contradicts the assumption that f-stlim $x_i = L$, which completes the proof of the Theorem.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function, $x = (x_i)$ be a bounded sequence and $\theta = (i_r)$ be a lacunary sequence. If $\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{h_r}{h_r^\alpha} = 1$,then $x \in f_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M}) \Rightarrow x \in w_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M})$.

Proof: Suppose that $x = (x_i)$ be a bounded sequence and $f_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M}) - \lim x_i = L$.

Then we have,

$$d_f\left(\left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{i \in I_r} M_i \left(\frac{|A_i(x) - L|}{\rho^{(i)}} \right) > \varepsilon \right\} \right) = 0.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ given, let us denote $\sum_1$ as the sum over $i \in I_r$, $|A_i(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon$ and $\sum_2$ denotes the sum over $i \in I_r$, $|A_i(x) - L| < \varepsilon$ respectively.

As $x \in \ell_\infty$, we have a constant $T > 0$ such that $|x_i| \leq T$. Now, for $\varepsilon > 0$, we have,

$\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)-L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)$

$\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_1 M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)-L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)+\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_2 M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)-L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)$

$\leq \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_1 \max\left\{M_i\left(\frac{T}{\rho^{(i)}}\right), M_i\left(\frac{T}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)\right\}+\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_2 M_i\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)$

$\leq \max\{M_i(K), M_i(K)\}\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}|\{i\in I_r : |A_i(x)-L|\geq\varepsilon\}|+\frac{h_r}{h_r^\alpha}M_i(\varepsilon_1),\ \ \frac{T}{\rho^{(i)}}=K, \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho^{(i)}}=\varepsilon_1.$

Hence, $x\in w_\theta^\alpha(A,\mathscr{M})$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\mathscr{M}=(M_i)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function where (M_i) is pointwise convergent. Then, $w_\theta^\alpha(A,\mathscr{M})\subset f_\theta^\alpha(A,\mathscr{M})$ if and only if $\lim_i M_i\left(\frac{\nu}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)>0$ for some $\nu>0, \rho^{(i)}>0$.

Proof : Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $x\in w_\theta^\alpha(A,\mathscr{M})$.

If $\lim_i M_i\left(\frac{\nu}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)>0$, then we have a number $c>0$ such that

$$M_i\left(\frac{\nu}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)\geq c,\ \text{for}\ \nu>\varepsilon.$$

Let us define, $I_r^1=\left\{i\in I_r : M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)-L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)\geq\varepsilon\right\}$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)-L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) &\geq \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_{i\in I_r^1} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)-L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)\\ &\geq c\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}|A_0(\varepsilon)|\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that $x\in f_\theta^\alpha(A,\mathscr{M})$.

Conversely, let the condition do not exist. Now, for a number $\nu>0$, let $\lim_i M_i\left(\frac{\nu}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)=0$ for some $\rho>0$.

Select a lacunary sequence $\theta = (n_r)$ such that $M_i\left(\frac{\nu}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) < 2^{-r}$ for any $i > n_r$.

Also, if $A = I$, then we can define a sequence x by putting,

$$A_i(x) = \begin{cases} \nu & \text{if } n_{r-1} < i \leq \frac{n_r+n_{r-1}}{2}; \\ \theta & \text{if } \frac{n_r+n_{r-1}}{2} < i \leq n_r. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) &= \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_{n_{r-1}<i\leq\frac{(n_r+n_{r-1})}{2}} M_i\left(\frac{\nu}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) \\ &< \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\frac{1}{2^{r-1}}\left[\frac{n_r+n_{r-1}}{2} - n_{r-1}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^r} \to 0 \text{ as } r\to\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $x \in w_\theta^{\alpha 0}(A,\mathscr{M})$.

But,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\left|\left\{i\in I_r : \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)\geq\varepsilon\right\}\right| &= \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\left|\left\{i\in\left(n_{r-1},\frac{n_r+n_{r-1}}{2}\right) : \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{\nu}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)\geq\varepsilon\right\}\right| \\ &= \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\frac{n_r-n_{r-1}}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $x \notin f_\theta^\alpha(A,\mathscr{M})$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $\mathscr{M} = (M_i)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function. Then $f_\theta^\alpha(A,\mathscr{M}) \subset w_\theta^\alpha(A,\mathscr{M})$ if and only if $\sup_\nu \sup_i M_i\left(\frac{\nu}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) < \infty$.

Proof: Let $x \in f_\theta^\alpha(A,\mathscr{M})$. Suppose $h(\nu) = \sup_i M_i\left(\frac{\nu}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)$ and $h = \sup_\nu h(\nu)$. Let

$$I_r^2 = \left\{i\in I_r : M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)-L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) < \varepsilon\right\}.$$

Now, $M_i(v) \leq h$ for all $i, v > 0$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)-L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) &= \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_{i\in I_r^1} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)-L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)\\
&+ \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_{i\in I_r^2} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)-L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)\\
&\leq h\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}|A_0(\varepsilon)| + h(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, it follows that $x \in w_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M})$.

Conversely, suppose that

$$\sup_v \sup_i M_i\left(\frac{v}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) = \infty.$$

Then, we have

$$0 < v_1 < v_2 < ... < v_{r-1} < v_r < ...$$

so that $M_{n_r}\left(\frac{v_r}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) \geq h_r^\alpha$ for $r \geq 1$. For $A = I$, we set a sequence $x = (x_i)$ by,

$$A_i(x) = \begin{cases} v_r & \text{if } i = n_r \text{ for some } r = 1, 2, ..; \\ \theta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\left|\left\{i \in I_r : \sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}\right| &= \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $x \in f_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M})$.

But,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}\sum_{i\in I_r} M_i\left(\frac{|A_i(x)-L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right) &= \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}M_{n_r}\left(\frac{|v_r - L|}{\rho^{(i)}}\right)\\
&\geq \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}h_r^\alpha\\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

So, $x \in w_\theta^\alpha(A, \mathscr{M})$.

References

[1] A. Aizpuru, M.C. Listán-Garcí and F. Rambla-Barreno, Density by Moduli and Statistical Convergence, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 2014: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2014.894682

[2] A. Esi and E. Savas, On Lacunary Statistically Convergent Triple Sequences in Probabilistic Normed Space, *Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences*, 9(5)(2015), 2529-2534.

[3] B. C. Tripathy and S. Borgohain , Statistically Convergent Difference Sequence spaces of Fuzzy Real numbers defined by Orlicz Function, *Thai Journal of Mathematics* , 11(2) (2013), 357-370.

[4] E. Kolk, The statistical convergence in Banach spaces, *Acta Et Commentationes Univ. Tartuensis*, 928(1991), 41-52.

[5] E. Savas and S. Borgohain , On strongly almost lacunary statistical A-convergence defined by Musielak-Orlicz function. (coomunicated)

[6] E. Savas, On I-lacunary Statistical Convergence of Order α for Sequences of Sets, *Filomat*, 29(6)(2015), 12231229.

[7] E. Savas, Double almost lacunary statistical convergence of order α., *Advances in Difference Equations* (254)(2013), 10 pp.

[8] E. Savas, On lacunary statistically convergent double sequences of fuzzy numbers, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 21(2-21)(2008), 134-141.

[9] E. Savas, On I-asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, *Advances in Difference Equations*, 11 (2013), 0-0

[10] E. Savas and R. Savas, On some sequence spaces and lacunary σ-statistical convergence, *Mathematical and Computational Applications*, 8(2)(2003).

[11] E. Savas and R. F. Patterson, Lacunary statistical convergence of multiple sequences, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 19(6)(2006), 527534.

[12] E. Savas and H. Gumus, A generalization on I-asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 270(2013), 9 pp.

[13] E. Savas and R. F. Patterson, On I-asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, *Central European Journal of Mathematics* 4(4)(2006), 648-655.

[14] E. Savas and V. Karakaya, Some new sequence spaces defined by lacunary sequences, *Mathematica Slovaca*, 57(4)(2007), 393-399.

[15] S. Eren and E. SAVAS, Double lacunary statistical convergence of order α, *Indian Journail of Mathematics*, 57(1)(2015), 0-0

[16] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.* 2(1951), 241-244.

[17] H. Nakano, Concave modulars, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.*, 5 (1953), 2949.

[18] I. J. Maddox , Sequence Spaces Defined by a modulus, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 100 (1986), 161-166.

[19] I.J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 66(1959), 361-375.

[20] J.A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, Analysis, 5(1985), 301-313.

[21] Lindenstrauss, J. and Tzafriri,L., On Orlicz sequence Spaces, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 10(1971), 379-390.

[22] Fridy, J.A. and Orhan, C., Lacunary statistically convergence, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 160(1)(1993), 43-51.

[23] J. Connor, M.Ganichev and V. Kadets, A characterization of Banach spaces with separable duals via weak statistical convergence, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 244 (2000), 251-261.

[24] J. Connor and E. SAVAS, Lacunary statistical and sliding window convergence for measurable functions, *Acta Mmathematica Hungarica*, 145(2)(2015), 416-432.

[25] J. Musielak, Orlicz spaces and modular spaces, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1034(1983).

[26] M. Mursaleen, A. Alotaibi and S. K. Sharma, Some new lacunary strong convergent vector-valued sequence spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, volume 2014, Article ID 858504, 8 pages.

[27] M. Mursaleen, A. Alotaibi and S. K. Sharma, Some new lacunary strong convergent vector-valued sequence spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Volume 2014, Article ID 858504, 8 pages.

[28] Patterson, F. and Savas, E., On asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences. *Thai J. Math.*, 4 (2) (2006), 267272.

[29] T. *Š*al*à*t, On statistically convergent sequences of fuzzy real numbers, *Math. Slovaca*, 30(1980), 139-150.

[30] U. Ulusu and E. Savas, An extension of asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalence set sequences, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 1(2014), 0-0

[31] V. Karakaya and E. Savas, On almost p-bounded variation of lacunary sequences, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 61(6)(2011), 1502-1506.

[31] W. H. Ruckle, FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, *Canad. J. Math.*, 25 (1973), 973978.